

Application No.: 09/886,352
Amendment dated: May 9, 2005
Reply to Office Action of February 9, 2005
Attorney Docket No.: 0016.0009US1

c.) Remarks

Claims 1-40 are pending in this application. Claims 1, 5, 7, 13, 14, 16, 18, 19, 21, 32, and 33 have been amended in various particulars as indicated hereinabove.

Claim 33 was objected to because of informalities. Claim 33 has been amended to overcome these informalities. Similar corrections have been made to claim 32. Applicants thank Examiner for the helpful suggestion.

Claims 13-22 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The questioned phrase: "generated looping instructions" has been removed. Dependent claims have been amended to improve antecedent basis.

Claims 13-22 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter that applicants regard as the invention.

Again, the noted problems have been addressed by the foregoing amendments, which were based on the kind suggestions in the Office Action.

Thus, by addressing the bases for the rejections, the claims should be deemed allowable for the reasons of record.

Application No.: 09/886,352
Amendment dated: May 9, 2005
Reply to Office Action of February 9, 2005
Attorney Docket No.: 0016.0009US1

Applicants believe that the present application is in condition for allowance. A Notice of Allowance is respectfully solicited. Should any questions arise, the Examiner is encouraged to contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

By 
J. Grant Houston
Registration No.: 35,900
Tel.: 781 863 9991
Fax: 781 863 9931

Lexington, Massachusetts 02421
Date: May 9, 2005